

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,901		12/30/2003	Joseph Deluise	LRU-103	6789
27014	7590	0 07/18/2006		EXAMINER	
JOHN R. BENEFIEL 280 DAINES ST. #100 B BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009				DONNELLY, JEROME W	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3764	
				DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond in view of Fischer et al.

Richmond discloses a device comprising: an upper body member comprising: a base member and a plurality of rollers; and

A lower body member comprising a base, and rollers.

Richmond however does not disclose his device comprising handles and a cushion, on a top side of the base.

Fisher et al discloses a device having handles as claimed and what seems to be cushioning on the device.

Given the above teachings the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide U-shaped handles on the upper body member of Richmond, for the purpose of providing a user means upon which to grasp and cushioning, to aide in the comfort of the user.

In regard to claims 7 and 8 the examiner draws the applicants attention to fig. 1A of Richmond wherein the user positions themselves on all fours and stretches outwardly. Although

Application/Control Number: 10/747,901

Art Unit: 3764

the user is not shown wherein they place their <u>forearms</u> on the upper rollable member the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to do so given that the user is still using their upper limbs as portions of the body upon which the user rest when stretching and extending their body when exercising.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond in view of Fisher and further in view of Chuang.

To manufacture rollers on platforms which do not swivel is obvious in view of the rollers of Chuang, so as to keep the platform from turning.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond in view of Fisher and further in view of Woelfer.

The examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a bumper on a side of the base member of Richmond modified supra in view of the bumper (7) of Woelfer Jr. so as to protect the device when in use.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond in view of Fisher and Pool.

The examiner notes that to manufacture roller members wherein they include a rigid base plate, means for attaching and a frame is obvious and known in the art of rolling device and/or devices which are rolled on by the user.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,901

Art Unit: 3764

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY PRIMARY EXAMINER